

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/741,734	12/19/2000	Dianna I. Tiliks	8285/375	5600
7:	590 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Joseph F. Hetz			MILLER, BRANDON J	
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione NBC Tower, Suite 3600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 10395			2683	
Chicago, IL 60610			DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

÷	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/741,734	TILIKS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit '				
	Brandon J. Miller	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fe</u>	ebruary 2005					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Amashmana(a)						
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Art Unit: 2683

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Neil in view of Fuller.

Regarding claim 1 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from a service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line. O'Neil does teach implementing the present invention with modifications to the systems used (see col. 11, lines 17-23). Fuller teaches dual ringing a subscriber and using a Centrex line, including a Centrex (DID) trunk, to facilitate switching control (see col. 4, lines 35-42 and col. 28, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include a Centrex line because the

Art Unit: 2683

modifications proposed in O'Neil includes the use of (DID) systems and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 2 O'Neil teaches in response to either a first or second call being answered, dropping the other call (see col. 4, lines 28-39).

Regarding claim 3 O'Neil teaches if neither the first nor second call is answered within a time period, routing the call to a voicemail system associated with the line (see col. 29, lines 31-40).

Regarding claim 4 O'Neil teaches determining whether the wireless communication device is available, and wherein initiating a call is performed only if the wireless communication device is available (see col. 33, lines 57-67 and col. 34, lines 1-6, 23-28 & 35-42).

Regarding claim 5 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from a service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches suspending processing of a call and launching a query to a service control point coupled to the SSP (see col. 6, lines 7-15). O'Neil teaches launching a routing message instructing the service switching point to route a call to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 6, lines 20-28). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line. O'Neil does teach implementing the present invention with modifications to the systems used (see col. 11, lines 17-23). Fuller teaches dual ringing a

Art Unit: 2683

subscriber and using a Centrex line, including a Centrex (DID) trunk, to facilitate switching control (see col. 4, lines 35-42 and col. 28, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include a Centrex line because the modifications proposed in O'Neil includes the use of (DID) systems and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 6 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 7 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 3 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 8 O'Neil and Fuller teach a device as recited in claim 5 except for if the wireless communication device associated with the Centrex line is not available: launching a transmit message from the SCP to the SSP instructing the SSP to transmit the call to the Centrex line; and transmitting the call from the SSP to the Centrex line. O'Neil does teach if a wireless communication device associated with a wireline is not available launching a transmit message (see col. 34, lines 37-42). Fuller teaches using a Centrex line to facilitate switching control (see col. 4, lines 35-42 and col. 28, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device adapt to include if the wireless communication device associated with the Centrex line is not available: launching a transmit message from the SCP to the SSP instructing the SSP to transmit the call to the Centrex line; and transmitting the call from the SSP to the Centrex line because this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Art Unit: 2683

Regarding claim 9 O'Neil teaches a destination number assigned to a subscriber line (see col. 7-14).

Regarding claim 10 O'Neil teaches detecting a terminating attempt trigger (see col. 4, lines 31-39).

Regarding claim 11 O'Neil teaches determining whether a dual ringing service is enabled (see col. 20, lines 15-19 & 49-52).

Regarding claim 12 O'Neil teaches a wireless communication device that is part of a wireless network (see col. 13, lines 30-38). O'Neil teaches sending a request for availability information of a wireless communication device from the service control point the wireless network (see col. 6, lines 15-22).

Regarding claim 13 O'Neil teaches sending a request for availability information of the wireless communication device from the service control point to a home location register in a wireless network and send availability information from the HLR to the service control point (see col. 6. lines 15-28).

Regarding claim 14 O'Neil teaches simultaneously initiating the first and second calls (see col. 20, lines 50-53).

Regarding claim 15 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from the service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a first call to a wireless communication device associated with a wireline and a second call to a wireline (see

Art Unit: 2683

col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches suspending processing of a call and launching a query to a service control point coupled to the SSP (see col. 6, lines 7-15). O'Neil teaches the SCP operative to receive a query and determine whether a wireless communication device is available (see col. 6, lines 13-28). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line. O'Neil does teach implementing the present invention with modifications to the systems used (see col. 11, lines 17-23). Fuller teaches dual ringing a subscriber and using a Centrex line, including a Centrex (DID) trunk, to facilitate switching control (see col. 4, lines 35-42 and col. 28, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include a Centrex line because the modifications proposed in O'Neil includes the use of (DID) systems and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 16 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 17 O'Neil teaches a home location register (HLR) coupled with the SCP, wherein the SCP is further operative to determine whether the wireless communication device is available by sending a request for availability information of the wireless communication device to the HLR (see col. 6, lines 7-28).

Regarding claim 18 O'Neil teaches a method for dual ringing of a wireline and a wireless extension of the wireline using an advanced intelligent telecommunication network with a service switching point and a service node (see col. 20, lines 48-55). O'Neil teaches receiving and routing a call from the service switching point to a service node coupled with the service switching point (see col. 20, lines 15-20). O'Neil teaches a service node, initiating a call to a

wireline with a network element separate from the switch (see col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil teaches initiating a call to a wireless communication device with a network element separate from the switch (see col. 5, lines 1-15 and col. 21, lines 26-34). O'Neil does not specifically mention the wire line being a Centrex line. O'Neil does teach implementing the present invention with modifications to the systems used (see col. 11, lines 17-23). Fuller teaches dual ringing a subscriber and using a Centrex line, including a Centrex (DID) trunk, to facilitate switching control (see col. 4, lines 35-42 and col. 28, lines 13-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the device in O'Neil adapt to include a Centrex line because the modifications proposed in O'Neil includes the use of (DID) systems and this would allow for an improved method of call completion using an existing telecommunications network.

Regarding claim 19 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 4 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 20 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 14 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

Regarding claim 21 O'Neil teaches a network element that is a service node (see col. 12, lines 48-50).

Regarding claim 22 O'Neil teaches a device as recited in claim 2 and is rejected given the same reasoning as above.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2683

#### Conclusion

Page 8

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Roberts U.S Patent No. 6,208,854 discloses a system and method for routing a call to a called party's landline or wireless communication unit.

Ganesan U.S Patent No. 5,812,951 discloses a wireless person communication system.

Fuller U.S Patent No. 6,411,682 discloses computer controlled paging and telephone communication system and method.

Gallant US 6,259,782 discloses a one-number communications system and service integrating wireline/wireless telephone communications systems.

Sahala US 6,751,308 discloses a signaling method and network element for a virtual private network.

McConnell et al. discloses an integrated wireless and private branch exchange communication network.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon J. Miller whose telephone number is 571-272-7869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2683

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 10, 2005

WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600